SCDM CCDM Practice Questions

Shared by Clark on 21-10-2026

For More Free Questions and Preparation Resources

Check the Links on Last Page

Question 1

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which of the following roles commonly requires data entry and update privileges in an EDC application used in a clinical study?

Options:

A- Site Study Coordinator
B- Clinical Study Monitor
C- EDC System Administrator
D- Study Statistician

P2P exams

Answer:

A

Explanation:

In an EDC system, Site Study Coordinators are typically responsible for data entry and updates, as they are the site-level personnel who record subject data from source documents into the electronic CRFs (eCRFs).

The Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP, Chapter: EDC Systems) outlines that data entry and modification privileges should only be granted to qualified site personnel who have completed EDC system training and are listed on the study delegation log. These users directly handle patient-level data entry and correction.

In contrast:

Clinical Study Monitors (B) review and verify data but do not enter or modify it.

EDC System Administrators (C) manage user access and configuration settings, not study data.

Study Statisticians (D) work with extracted, cleaned datasets but never have data modification privileges.

Thus, option A (Site Study Coordinator) correctly identifies the role with authorized data entry and update privileges.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: Electronic Data Capture (EDC) Systems, Section 5.2 -- User Roles and Access Permissions

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 4.1 -- Investigator Responsibilities for Data Accuracy

FDA 21 CFR Part 11 -- User Access and Accountability in Electronic Systems

Question 2

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which metric will identify edit checks that may not be working properly?

Options:

A- Count by edit check of the number of times the check fired
B- Count by site of the number of times any edit check fired
C- Average number of edit check identified discrepancies per form
D- Average number of times each edit check has fired

Answer:

A

Explanation:

The best metric to identify malfunctioning or ineffective edit checks is the count by edit check of the number of times the check fired. This allows data managers to assess whether specific edit checks are performing as intended.

According to the GCDMP, Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, edit checks are programmed logic conditions that identify data inconsistencies or potential errors during data entry. A properly functioning edit check should trigger only when data falls outside acceptable or logical limits. If an edit check fires too frequently or not at all, it may indicate a logic error in the check's programming or configuration.

By analyzing counts by individual edit checks, data managers can:

Identify checks that never trigger (potentially inactive or incorrectly written),

Detect overactive checks (poorly designed parameters causing excessive false positives), and

Optimize system performance and review efficiency.

This metric supports continuous improvement in data validation logic and contributes to cleaner, higher-quality clinical databases.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP), Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, Section 6.2 -- Edit Check Design and Performance Metrics

FDA Guidance: Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations -- Section on Validation of Electronic Data Systems

Question 3

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Query rules were tested with test data for each logic condition within each rule. Which of the following types of testing was conducted?

Options:

A- User box testing
B- White box testing
C- Black box testing
D- T box testing

Answer:

C

Explanation:

Testing query rules with test data inputs to confirm expected outputs without examining the underlying program logic is an example of black box testing.

According to the GCDMP (Chapter: Data Validation and System Testing), black box testing is a functional testing approach used to verify that the system performs correctly from the end-user's perspective. In this method, testers input various conditions and observe outputs to ensure the system behaves as intended --- for instance, that edit checks trigger correctly when data fall outside predefined limits.

In contrast, white box testing involves examining internal logic, code, and algorithm structures. Because data managers typically validate edit checks through data-driven test cases rather than code inspection, black box testing is the appropriate and industry-standard method. This ensures compliance with validation documentation standards as outlined in FDA 21 CFR Part 11, Section 11.10(a) and ICH E6 (R2) system validation expectations.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP), Chapter: Database Validation and Testing, Section 4.1 -- Testing Approaches (Black Box and White Box)

FDA 21 CFR Part 11 -- System Validation Requirements

ICH E6 (R2) GCP, Section 5.5.3 -- Computerized Systems Validation

Question 4

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which attribute is NOT a characteristic of a standardized data collection element?

Options:

A- An unambiguous definition for the data element
B- A strictly enforced requirement for the positioning of each data element on a case report form
C- A standard set of values used to respond to a data collection question
D- A unique set of data storage metadata, including a variable name and data type

Answer:

B

Explanation:

A standardized data collection element has well-defined metadata, consistent naming conventions, and controlled terminology to ensure uniform data collection and interoperability across studies.

Key attributes, as per GCDMP and CDISC standards, include:

A clear definition of meaning (A)

A controlled set of response values (C)

Metadata specifications like variable names, formats, and data types (D)

However, the physical positioning of a data element on a case report form (B) is a matter of form layout design, not a characteristic of data standardization. While consistent form structure aids usability, it is not part of data standardization or metadata management principles.

Hence, option B is correct --- form positioning is not a standardized data element attribute.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: Standards and Data Integration, Section 4.1 -- Data Element Standardization

CDISC CDASH Implementation Guide, Section 3.2 -- Standardized Data Collection Elements and Metadata

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 5.5.3 -- Data Handling and Standardization

Question 5

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which is the best reason why front-end checks are usually kept minimal, when compared to back-end checks, in a paper-based clinical study?

Options:

A- Data entry staff should be able to enter a value into the database just as it appears in the paper CRF
B- There is no need to alert the site personnel immediately about a data issue, as the study has happened already
C- There are approvals required to raise a Data Clarification Form which could take time
D- Data review can be performed at a later time due to the paper-based studies being smaller in size

Answer:

A

Explanation:

In paper-based clinical studies, front-end data checks (those performed during data entry) are intentionally kept minimal to ensure that data are entered exactly as recorded on the paper CRF. This principle ensures data integrity by maintaining fidelity between source and electronic records before any cleaning or edit validation occurs.

The GCDMP (Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning) explains that data entry operators should input values as written, even if they appear incorrect or inconsistent, because the purpose of front-end checks is not to interpret but to capture data faithfully. The back-end edit checks---performed later by data managers---are designed to identify inconsistencies, out-of-range values, or logical errors that require clarification through queries.

This approach separates data capture from data cleaning, minimizing bias and preserving original investigator input. Hence, option A accurately states the rationale for keeping front-end checks minimal in paper-based studies.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, Section 4.2 -- Data Entry, Edit Checks, and Query Process

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 5.5.3 -- Data Handling and System Controls

FDA Guidance for Industry: Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations, Section 6.1 -- Data Entry and Verification Processes

P2P exams

Question 6

Question Type: MultipleChoice

What is the primary benefit of using a standard dictionary for medications?

Options:

A- To standardize recording of medications taken by patients across sites
B- To facilitate the reporting and analysis of possible drug interactions
C- To identify differences in medication components based on country of source
D- To improve safety monitoring of patients in a clinical trial setting

Answer:

A

P2P exams

Explanation:

The primary benefit of using a standard medical dictionary (such as WHO Drug Dictionary, WHO-DD Enhanced, or RxNorm) in clinical data management is to standardize the recording and representation of medications taken by study participants across all sites, countries, and data sources (Option A).

According to the Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP, Chapter on Medical Coding and Dictionaries), standardized coding ensures that all variations of drug names --- including brand names, generic names, abbreviations, and misspellings --- are consistently mapped to a uniform dictionary term. This harmonization allows for accurate aggregation, analysis, and regulatory reporting of concomitant medications and investigational products

across multiple studies and global sites.

For example, 'Paracetamol' and 'Acetaminophen' are the same compound but are known by different names in different regions. Coding both to the same preferred term (PT) in the WHO Drug Dictionary ensures that all references are analyzed consistently in safety summaries and pharmacovigilance reports.

While other options describe secondary benefits:

Option B: Facilitating drug interaction analysis is an important downstream benefit, but it depends on having standardized coding first.

Option C: Identifying differences in medication components by country is a feature of dictionary metadata but not the primary goal.

Option D: Safety monitoring relies on consistent adverse event and drug data but is an overarching objective, not the direct function of dictionary coding.

Thus, the primary benefit lies in ensuring consistency, clarity, and interoperability of medication data across all clinical sites and systems, forming the foundation for reliable safety and efficacy analysis.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

Society for Clinical Data Management (SCDM), Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP), Chapter: Medical Coding and Dictionaries, Section 6.1 -- Purpose and Principles of Coding

WHO Drug Dictionary (WHO-DD) User Manual, Section 2.3 -- Standardization of Medicinal Product Terminology

ICH E2B (R3) Clinical Safety Data Management -- Data Elements for Transmission of Individual Case Safety Reports

FDA Study Data Technical Conformance Guide, Section 3.2 -- Use of Controlled Terminology in Drug and Event Coding

Question 7

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which statement applies to the CRF Completion Guidelines (CCGs) for a multinational study?

Options:

A- CCGs must be translated and back-translated in each local language used in the study

B- CCGs must contain the list of acceptable abbreviations to be used in the CRF
C- CCGs can instruct sites to write in their local language as long as the CRA is fluent in this language
D- CCGs can instruct sites to use any abbreviations if they are documented in the subject source notes

Answer:

B

Explanation:

The Case Report Form (CRF) Completion Guidelines (CCGs) are critical documents that guide site staff on how to accurately and consistently record data on CRFs across all participating sites, especially in multinational trials.

According to the Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP, Chapter: CRF Design and Data Collection), one of the key components of the CCGs is a list of acceptable abbreviations and conventions to be used during CRF entry. This standardization ensures data consistency across languages and countries, reduces ambiguity during data review, and facilitates database design and coding accuracy.

While translation (A) may be useful for training materials, it is not required for CCGs unless specified by regulatory bodies. Options C and D are incorrect because data collection should adhere to standardized terms in English (or the study's official language) --- allowing free use of local languages or arbitrary abbreviations introduces inconsistencies.

Hence, option B --- ''CCGs must contain the list of acceptable abbreviations to be used in the CRF'' --- is correct.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: CRF Design and Data Collection, Section 5.3 -- CRF Completion Guidelines and Standardization

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 5.5.3 -- Consistency and Data Recording Requirements

FDA Guidance for Industry: Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations, Section 6.2 -- Data Entry Conventions and Documentation

Question 8

Question Type: MultipleChoice

What method is used for quality control of the query resolution process?

Options:

A- Calculate the time from discrepancy identified to query sent.
B- Tabulate the number of queries sent per site.
C- Calculate the time from query sent to query resolution from the site.
D- Perform random audits of the resolved query forms.

Answer:

D

Explanation:

The most effective method for quality control (QC) of the query resolution process is to perform random audits of resolved query forms. This ensures that queries are being appropriately raised, addressed, and resolved in accordance with the study protocol, data management plan (DMP), and standard operating procedures (SOPs).

According to the GCDMP (Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning), QC activities should verify that the data review and query management process maintains high accuracy and consistency. Random auditing of resolved queries enables verification that:

Queries were raised for legitimate discrepancies,

The site's responses were appropriate, and

The resolution actions taken by data management were correct and well-documented.

Metrics such as turnaround time (options A and C) or query counts (option B) measure efficiency but do not assess quality. True quality control focuses on ensuring that data corrections preserve accuracy, auditability, and traceability --- the fundamental principles of data integrity in clinical research.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP), Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, Section 5.4 -- Query Management and Quality Control

ICH E6 (R2) GCP, Section 5.5.3 -- Data Integrity and Validation Procedures

Question 9

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which is the most important reason for why a data manager would review data before a monitor reviews it?

Options:

A- Data managers write the Data Management Plan that specifies the data cleaning workflow.
B- Data can be viewed and discrepancies highlighted prior to a monitor's review.
C- Data managers have access to programming tools to identify discrepancies.
D- The GCDMP recommends that data managers review data prior to a monitor's review.

Answer:

B

Explanation:

The primary reason data managers review data before a monitor's review is to identify and flag discrepancies or inconsistencies so that site monitors can focus their efforts more efficiently during on-site or remote source data verification (SDV).

According to the Good Clinical Data Management Practices (GCDMP, Chapter on Data Validation and Cleaning), proactive data review by data management staff ensures data completeness and accuracy by identifying missing, inconsistent, or out-of-range values. This pre-review helps streamline the monitoring process, reduces the volume of open queries, and enhances data quality.

Option A is true but not the main reason for pre-monitor review. Option C highlights a capability rather than a rationale. Option D is partially correct, but the GCDMP emphasizes process purpose, not prescriptive order. Thus, option B correctly captures the practical and process-oriented reason for early data review---to ensure data are ready and accurate for the monitor's review phase.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, Section 5.3 -- Data Review Timing and Purpose

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 5.18 -- Monitoring and Data Verification Requirements

Question 10

Question Type: MultipleChoice

Which method would best identify clinical chemistry lab data affected by a blood draw taken distal to a saline infusion?

Options:

A- Abnormally high sodium values in a dataset
B- Lab values from a blood draw with a very high sodium and very low other values
C- Abnormally low urine glucose values in a dataset
D- Lab values from a blood draw with a very low sodium and very high other values

Answer:

B

Explanation:

If a blood sample is drawn distal (downstream) from a saline infusion site, it may become contaminated with saline, leading to abnormal laboratory results. Saline contains a high concentration of sodium chloride, which artificially elevates sodium while diluting other blood components.

Therefore, such samples would display:

Very high sodium levels, and

Abnormally low levels of other analytes (e.g., proteins, glucose, potassium).

This abnormal pattern (option B) is a classic indicator of saline contamination.

Per the GCDMP (Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning), cross-variable consistency checks are critical for identifying biologically implausible patterns, such as this one, which indicate pre-analytical errors rather than true physiological changes.

Hence, option B accurately describes the data signature of a contaminated blood draw.

Reference (CCDM-Verified Sources):

SCDM GCDMP, Chapter: Data Validation and Cleaning, Section 6.2 -- Logical and Consistency Checks for Laboratory Data

ICH E6(R2) GCP, Section 5.1.1 -- Data Quality and Biological Plausibility Checks

FDA Guidance for Industry: Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations, Section 6.3 -- Detecting Laboratory Anomalies

To Get Premium Files for CCDM Visit

https://www.p2pexams.com/products/ccdm

For More Free Questions Visit

https://www.p2pexams.com/scdm/pdf/ccdm

